

Meeting summary

The 240th meeting was held in Montréal on November 29, 2018.

Present:

<p><i>Pierre Philie</i> <i>Daniel Berrouard</i> <i>Marie-Eve Fortin</i> <i>Thérèse Spiegle</i> <i>Cynthia Marchildon</i></p>	<p><i>Lisa Koperqualuk</i> <i>Joseph Annahatak</i></p>
--	--

Executive Secretary *Michèle Séguin-Letendre*

PROJECTS AND OTHER MATTERS	DISCUSSIONS OR DECISIONS
<p>Project by the Ministère des Transports, de la Mobilité durable et de l'Électrification des transports to extend an existing quarry to resurface the Kuujjrapik airport runway</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Kativik Environmental Quality Commission (hereinafter referred to as the "KEQC") has agreed to send the Administrator a first set of questions and comments related to the application of the <i>Regulation respecting pits and quarries</i>.
<p>Innavik hydroelectric project by Pituvik Landholding Corporation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The members reviewed the proponent's response to the third set of questions and comments. A request for additional information in response to these documents will be sent to the Administrator. • The members agreed that public consultations would be held in Inukjuak on winter 2019.
<p>Raglan mining project by Glencore Canada Corporation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The KEQC held a meeting with a representative of Glencore Canada Corporation, who provided an update on the modification request for the navigation closure period in Deception Bay. • Members reviewed the 2017 Annual Environmental Monitoring Report. Several issues and concerns were raised by the KEQC and members agreed to ask the proponent questions and comments. • The members discussed the update of the mine rehabilitation plan and agreed to prepare a draft letter to the proponent for the next meeting. • Members discussed follow-up on condition 6 of the July 11, 2017, amendment to the certificate of authorization (issued on May 5, 1995.) Questions and comments will be asked of the proponent.

<p>Direct shipping of iron ores, Project “2A” in Nunavik by Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Members reviewed the 2017 Annual Report. Several issues and concerns were raised by the KEQC and members agreed to send the proponent questions and comments.
<p>Nunavik Nickel by Canadian Royalties Inc.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proponent provided the KEQC with the names and titles of all persons in charge of the environment and communications at Canadian Royalties Inc. and for the Nunavik Nickel project. • The members stated their wish to organize a meeting with the proponent and conduct a site visit; they will draw up a list of desired discussion points.
<p>Regulation respecting compensation for adverse effects on wetlands and bodies of water</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At Makivik Corporation’s request, the KEQC agreed to communicate its position on the recent implementation of the <i>Regulation respecting compensation for adverse effects on wetlands and bodies of water</i> and its exclusion application north of 49th parallel.
<p>Relocation of the KEQC secretariat</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The members decided to move forward with the temporary relocation of the KEQC’s secretariat to Kangiqsujuaq.
<p>Application for judicial review and declaratory judgment in superior court by the Naskapi Nation of Kawawachikamach</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The members agreed to monitor the progress of this dossier.

The project consists mainly of the expansion of the existing 7610-10-01-8450 quarry to produce an estimated 31,000 m³ gravel for resurfacing the Kuujjuaraapik airport’s runway and taxiways. To obtain the volume of gravel required for maintenance work, the proponent wishes to extend an existing quarry over a 0.7-ha area, with an average depth of 5 metres above the water table. The latter is located north of the Village of Kuujjuarapik, on Category I lands. The total disturbed area, after the proposed expansion, will be 2.99 ha, or less than 3 ha, including an out-of-limit portion of 0.22 ha that was exploited in 2016.

The information provided raised concerns about the presence of a well, near the proposed site, that supplies drinking water to the communities of Kuujjuaraapik and Whapmagoostui. Thus, after having analyzed all the information provided to them, the members reported a problem related mainly to the application of two provisions of the *Regulation respecting pits and quarries* (RPQ). According to sections 10 and 15 of the Regulation, no authorization as required under section 22 of the *Environmental Quality Act* (EQA) could be issued for the project under study. With a view to pursuing the analysis of this dossier and make decision on whether or not to subject the project to the environmental and social impact assessment and review procedure, the members agreed that the KEQC will send the proponent a first set of questions and comments related to the application of the RPQ.

Action: Send a letter to the Administrator (question and comments).

6. Innalik hydroelectric project by Pituvik Landholding Corporation

6.1. Responses to questions and comments - 3rd series

On September 7, 2018, the KEQC received from the MDDELCC a copy of the additional information to the impact study submitted by Pituvik Land Corporation, in response to the third set of questions and comments that the KECQ had sent to the Administrator on May 17, 2018, regarding the Innalik hydroelectric project by Pituvik Landholding Corporation.

The information provided raised several questions and comments that will be asked of the proponent. The members also noted that the proponent failed to provide certain documents that he had promised to provide. The following table summarizes all the comments and issues raised by the KEQC on this subject.

Main Comments and Questions Raised	
General background	The members asked the proponent to make available the update of the visual simulation of the dam.
Social considerations	<p>The KEQC would like the proponent to table the minutes of the meetings scheduled with representatives of hunters, trappers and fishers in the fall of 2018.</p> <p>The KEQC members believe that this project is of great importance to the Inukjuak community and reiterate the importance of carefully considering its social impacts.</p>

Emergency response plan	The KEQC would like the proponent to submit the plan for evacuating multiple injured persons that is slated to be prepared in collaboration with local authorities.
Drowning	The members asked the proponent to indicate whether it had made the final choice for the forebay filling scenario.
Water intake	<p>The members expect the proponent to specify the location of the temporary water intake that will be installed during the construction phase, upstream of the work to avoid any potential contamination of drinking water for the village of Inukjuak. The same applies to the temporary access road. If this information is not yet available, the KEQC asks the proponent to state which options are being considered.</p> <p>The KEQC also want the proponent to specify whether it will be responsible for monitoring water quality during the construction and operating periods. If not, the proponent should specify who will be responsible for this monitoring.</p> <p>Finally, upon reading the documents provided, the members indicated that KRG and the Ministère de l'Environnement et de la Lutte contre les changements climatiques (MELCC) will be directly involved in coordinating the slated works for the temporary water intake and the monitoring.</p> <p>The members therefore requested that the proponent specify how these two organizations would be involved in the event of a water quality issue. The proponent should also mention whether other agencies or departments will be involved and, if so, the nature of their involvement.</p>
Greenhouse gases	The KEQC would like the proponent to provide more details on the greenhouse gases that will be emitted during the Innalik project's construction and operating phases.
Climate change	Members stressed the importance of taking changes in the hydrological regime in current and future climates into account in the project's planning and operating. The KEQC asked the proponent to demonstrate that the anticipated impacts of climate change on the project and the environment in which it will be built have been taken into account during the development of the project and in the assessment of its impacts. Also, if relevant, the proponent is asked to demonstrate that the project design is adapted to the future climate.

After discussion and to continue assessment of the project, the members agreed that public consultations would be held to gather the opinions of citizens, groups or organizations affected by the Innavik project. It was agreed that a letter be sent to the Administrator to inform him, as part of the environmental impact assessment, of the KEQC's intention to hold public consultations in Inukjuak on winter 2019. With this in mind, a preparatory meeting with the proponent was also planned for January 2019.

Action: Send a letter (request for additional information in response to questions and comments – 3rd series) to the Administrator.

Action: Coordinate a preparatory meeting for public consultations with the proponent.

7. Raglan mining project by Glencore Canada Corporation

7.1. Meeting with the representative of Glencore Corporation Canada

The KEQC met with Jean-François Verret, Glencore Canada Corporation's representative for the Raglan mine project. Mr. Verret first presented the members with an update on Phase II of the Raglan mine operations, which began in August 2018. Updates to the request to change the closure period for navigation in Deception Bay were subsequently presented to the members.

Mr. Verret presented the summary results of the environmental and social assessment conducted by the proponent regarding the request to modify the closure time for navigation. The results showed that the request presented few risks with respect to fauna and flora. However, the main issue raised by the communities affected by the project is in regards to the seal hunt, which is held during this period. Changing the closure time would indeed limit seal hunters during this period, yet seal hunting is a traditional activity of great importance to residents of the communities consulted. Therefore, the proponent informed the KEQC that, given the significant social importance of seal hunting in the region, the proponent has made the decision not to proceed with this request and to maintain the status quo.

7.2. 2017 Environmental Monitoring Report

On April 4, 2018, the KEQC received from the MDDELCC a copy of the 2017 Annual Environmental Monitoring Report for the Raglan mine by Glencore Canada Corporation. The KEQC questioned whether the environmental monitoring was adequate and satisfactorily met the requirements of the environmental monitoring program and the overall certificate issued on May 5, 1995, as well as those of subsequent amendments.

Although, in general, the KEQC is of the opinion that the report presented meets the above-mentioned requirements, the review of the information provided raised some questions among the members. A request for further information will be sent to the Administrator to enable the KEQC to complete the analysis of the 2017 Environmental Monitoring Report. The following table summarizes all the comments and issues raised by the KEQC on this subject.

Main Comments and Questions Raised	
Analysis and interpretation of the results	The members asked the proponent to contextualize the various data and interpret these latter in a way that will allow conclusions to be drawn in regards to the environment.
Exceeding benchmark values	Members questioned the observed excess of benchmark values in the results of surface water analyses. The KEQC would therefore like the proponent to specify who is responsible for these exceedances and what environmental impact they have.
Surface water quality monitoring	The members of the KEQC asked the proponent to use a sampling method to verify that the identified benchmark values are respected.
Monitoring of dikes and water retention dams	The members noted that recommendations for corrective actions have been issued by Golder, and the KEQC would like the proponent to clearly specify, for each of these recommendations, which have been implemented and the expected deadline for slated recommendations.

Action: Send a letter to the Administrator (request for additional information).

7.3. Update of the mine restoration plan

On April 4, 2018, the KEQC received from the MDDELCC a copy of information on the updated restoration plan for the Raglan Mine. Ms. Thérèse Spiegle presented the background to the request, and summarized the documents the proponent sent and the climate-change-related issues around the mine restoration plan. The members agreed that a draft letter, which is to include the KEQC's comments, could be prepared by the members and presented at the next meeting.

7.4. Follow-up on conditions 5 and 6 of the July 11, 2017, amendment to the certificate of authorization (issued May 5, 1995)

On July 31, 2018, the KEQC received from the MDDELCC a copy of the information regarding the follow-up of conditions 5 and 6 of the July 11, 2017, amendment to the certificate of authorization issued on May 5, 1995. At Meeting 239, the members had expressed their views on follow-up to condition 5 and correspondence had been sent to the Administrator to this effect.

Condition 6 of the July 11, 2017, amendment to the certificate of authorization stipulates that the proponent shall file with the Administrator, for information purposes and one year after this amendment to the certificate of authorization is issued, an air emissions management plan that includes a description of the project's emission sources and the mitigation measures to which it has committed as part of the project. The above-mentioned management plan will include the dust management plan for the road near Deception Bay and will specify whether mitigation measures must be adjusted.

Review of the air emissions management plan raised some questions among KEQC members. They questioned what criteria the proponent will consider to trigger the implementation of the following mitigation measures: summer control of dust emissions on various roads on the site, as well as watering of ore and waste rock piles during the dry periods of the summer season, if necessary. The members also wanted further information on how and how often the granular material used at the tailings facility is covered to minimize diffuse emissions and wind turbines during storage. Finally, it was agreed to ask the proponent to query land users in order to assess their assessment of the implemented mitigation measures and to keep a record of complaints from land users. The results of this survey should be forwarded to the KEQC. In order to obtain further clarification in relation to the issues raised, questions and comments will be sent to the proponent.

Action: Send a letter to the Administrator: question and comments.

8. Direct shipping of iron ores, Project “2A,” in Nunavik, by Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited

8.1. 2017 Annual Report

On May 15, 2018, the KEQC received from MDDELCC a copy of the 2017 Annual Report submitted by Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited for the Direct Shipping of Iron Ore Project, Project “2A.” The purpose of the authorized project is to operate via direct loading two iron deposits located in Quebec. This is part of a larger project (DSO4) that includes the development of iron ore deposits on both sides of Newfoundland and Labrador border.

The members of the KEQC thus reviewed the proponent’s documents concerning conditions 3, 6, 7 and 19–21 of the certificate of authorization issued on January 11, 2013, and conditions 1, 4 and 5 of the August 14, 2017, amendment to the CA.

Thus, after having analyzed all the information provided to them, the members of the KEQC were of the opinion that the 2017 Annual Report is incomplete and they wished to send a third set of questions and comments to the proponent. The KEQC agreed to request the proponent to submit the missing additional information in the next annual report, scheduled for March 2019. The following table summarizes all the questions and comments raised by KEQC members on the subject.

Main Comments and Questions Raised	
Biological and physical environment monitoring program	
Surface water quality monitoring	The members noted that the proponent has failed to indicate the analytical method used to monitor surface water quality. These analyses must be carried out using the trace method. The KEQC therefore requested the proponent to provide certificates of the analyses that were carried out to determine the initial state of surface water quality and a map showing the final location of the sampling stations.

Sediment quality monitoring	The members noted that the results of the initial sediment quality characterization are not presented in the 2017 Annual Report, as required. The same applies to the initial characterization that was to be carried out at the final choice of sampling station. This information should be included in the 2018 Annual Report.
Air quality monitoring	Information related to the air quality monitoring program was missing and the KEQC expects the proponent to provide the data required when the 2018 Annual Report is submitted.
Groundwater quality monitoring	The proponent did not submit any information regarding its verification of the presence of water in future drilling on the Goodwood site; this verification was to be carried out to ensure that no perched groundwater was present in the area. The members requested that this be done or that the absence of monitoring of groundwater quality be justified in the 2018 Annual Report.
Monitoring of the geochemical characteristics of waste rock	The proponent began mining and storage of waste rock at the Goodwood site in 2017, yet has not presented results of characterization of this waste rock. The KEQC expects the proponent to present these results or to justify their absence.
Environmental and social monitoring	The members noted that all the environmental and social monitoring to which the proponent committed in its impact study has not been included in its 2017 Annual Report. It should be included in the 2018 report. The KEQC would also like the proponent to specify the approximate schedule for monitoring and reporting.
Research program on the restoration of the Tata Steel mine site	The members noted a lack of clarity by the proponent regarding the results of the research programs on mine site restoration and the strategic rehabilitation plan.
Human-environment monitoring programs	
Citizen information program	It was noted that information on the activities planned in the information program tabled in 2014 is not available. The KEQC would like to remind the proponent that it must submit an annual review of its informational activities in each annual report. The same applies to information and consultation activities, as well as to the planned annual communication plan. Finally, the members expect the proponent to submit to them the minutes of the meetings of the environmental and social monitoring committee four months after each meeting.
Follow-up regarding the social perceptions of Project "2A"	The members were of the opinion that the report tabled to document use of land and resources by the Innu and Naskapi does not meet the requirements. The report does not assess the perceptions of Project 2A by land users or the effectiveness of the channels of communication laid out in the information program to meet condition 19. In addition, the area surrounding Project 2A was not considered in this study. The proponent is requested to conduct this assessment and forward the results to the Administrator, as required by certificate of authorization.

Other considerations	<p>The members questioned the impossibility of building clean water diversion ditches and asked the proponent to discuss the solutions under consideration.</p> <p>The members also wanted the proponent to indicate whether the communities of Kawawachikamach, Matimekosh-Lac John and the KRG were consulted during the development of the restoration plan concept, as required by condition 15 of the certificate of authorization.</p>
----------------------	--

Finally, the members of the KEQC wanted to remind the proponent that monitoring is carried out to identify the project's real impacts and to verify the effectiveness of the mitigation measures put in place, from the beginning of mining operations. The latter must allow problems to be identified and resolved quickly throughout the construction and operation of the project, as indicated in condition 3 of the January 2013 certificate of authorization. The KEQC therefore expects to receive monitoring results, including the proponent's analysis of these results, every year.

Action: Send a letter to the Administrator (questions and comments).

9. Nunavik Nickel Project by Canadian Royalties Inc.

9.1. Potential meeting with the proponent

At the last KEQC meeting, the members expressed a desire to organize a meeting eventually with the proponent to discuss the issues raised during the analysis of the 2017 Environmental Monitoring Report. Thus, at the KEQC's request, on November 8, 2018, the KEQC received from the proponent the names and titles of all persons responsible for the environment and communications at Canadian Royalties Inc. and for the Nunavik Nickel project.

The members stated that they consider visiting the Canadian Royalties site in the summer/fall of 2019 and discussed the possibility of combining it with a visit to the Raglan Mine facilities. Before contacting the proponents in question, Mr. Pierre Philie asked the KEQC members to draw up a list of the points the KEQC wishes to discuss with the proponents, as well as the specific elements and infrastructures that they wish to visit.

Action: Draw up a list of discussion points and of infrastructure to be visited on the Nunavik Nickel project site.

NEW DOSSIERS

10. Updates to KEQC documents and procedures

10.1. Update of the KEQC's *Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct*

The members agreed to postpone this item to the next KEQC meeting.

10.2. Update of the KEQC's *Internal Rules of Management*

The members agreed to postpone this item to the next KEQC meeting.

11. Regulation respecting compensation for adverse effects on wetlands and bodies of water

11.1. The KEQC's position

On October 2, 2018, the KEQC received a request from Makivik Corporation to state a position on the recent enforcement of the *Regulation respecting compensation for adverse effects on wetlands and bodies of water* and its exclusion from application north of 49th parallel.

The members discussed the issues and are aware of the important role that wetlands play in Nunavik. However, given the northern context, the KEQC has not adopted a specific formula to calculate this compensation. It was agreed that the KEQC will continue to assess this issue on a project-by-project basis. This information will be provided to Makivik Corporation in response to their request.

Action: Communicate this information to Makivik Corporation

12. Varia

12.1. Relocation of the KEQC secretariat

At previous meetings, members discussed the possibility of moving the KEQC's secretariat to the Northern Village of Kangiqsujuaq, in the goal of facilitating contact with Inuit members and acquiring more adequate infrastructure than that at the current location in Kuujuaapik. To date, several steps have been taken in this direction. At the last meeting, Mr. Philie raised the idea of the KEQC applying for funding to build housing in the Northern Village of Kangiqsujuaq to house its executive secretary. However, the adoption of this solution was not unanimous, since such an approach would be complex and not provide short-term solutions.

The KEQC's executive secretary submitted a request for the temporary relocation of the KEQC to Kangiqsujuaq. She would be able to live in accommodations with her spouse, who has been offered a position in this community. An office could be available at the Nunaturlik Land Corporation in Kangiqsujuaq. Mr. Philie has also taken steps to explore the possibility that a house be built in Kangiqsujuaq, which could be rented by the KEQC to house its secretary. This option would guarantee a permanent relocation of the KEQC's secretariat to this community. However, until the KEQC has housing and an office space in Kangiqsujuaq, the lease in Kuujuaapik for housing and the current office will have to be maintained. Kuujuaapik's housing could eventually be sublet by the Société québécoise des infrastructures for another organization/ministry and office rental costs could be reduced by half with a request for partial return on the lease for the space no longer in use. The KEQC archives would remain in Kuujuaapik until the temporary situation becomes permanent. It should be noted that the KEQC would not pay rent for its secretary in Kangiqsujuaq for the time she is staying with her spouse. After discussion and analysis of the situation, the members of the KEQC agreed to authorize the temporary relocation of the secretariat to

Kangiqsujuaq as soon as the contract for Ms. Séguin-Letendre's spouse is officially concluded in Kangiqsujuaq and housing is guaranteed.

Action: Undertake the steps with the Société québécoise des infrastructures

12.2. The KEQC's budget forecast for the 2019–2020 fiscal year

It was agreed that the executive secretary and Mr. Philie, president of the KEQC, would present the members in attendance at the next meeting with the KEQC's budget estimates for the 2019–2020 fiscal year, in preparation for the request for funding to be sent to the Administrator.

Action: Present to the members of the KEQC the budget estimates for the 2019–2020 fiscal year

12.3. Follow-up on the Naskapi judicial review application

The KEQC received an application for judicial review and declaratory judgement from the Naskapi Nation of Kawawachikamach by e-mail on March 5, 2018 (number: 500-17-101477-175) in superior court, dated December 15, 2017. The KEQC then sent a letter to the Administrator on April 6, 2018, requesting his position, in particular on the need for the KEQC to be represented by a lawyer. The Administrator's response was received by Mr. Philie on October 30, 2018, stating that the Attorney General of Quebec will not represent the northern committees cited in this proceeding. In the meantime, in June 2018, the KEQC received, from the Naskapi Nation of Kawawachikamach, an amended application for judicial review and declaratory judgement in the Superior Court.

Mr. Philie presented the current situation to the members of the KEQC. As discussed at the previous meeting, members understood that the Naskapi Nation was requesting, among other things, that a member of Naskapi origin sit on the KEQC board. The members heard the request, however, under chapter 23 of the JBNQA, it is understood that the Kativik Regional Government (KRG) is the organization responsible for appointing Inuit or Naskapi members to the KEQC. That said, after re-discussing the KEQC's involvement in such a procedure, the members reiterated that the dossier remains in the hands of the Kativik Regional Government and the Government of Quebec (MDDELCC) and agreed to continue to follow the dossier's developments.

13. Next meeting

The next meeting will be held by conference call on December 13, 2018. The members will set the date and location for the preparatory meeting with the Innavik hydroelectric project, which is slated for January 2019, at the next meeting.

7.4. Follow-up on conditions 5 and 6 of the July 11, 2017, amendment to the certificate of authorization issued May 5, 1995

Task: For discussion

8. Direct shipping of iron ores, Project “2A,” in Nunavik, by Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited

8.1. 2017 Annual Report

Task: For discussion

9. Nunavik Nickel Project by Canadian Royalties Inc.

9.1. Potential meeting with the proponent

Task: For discussion

NEW DOSSIERS

10. Updates to KEQC documents and procedures

10.1. Update of the KEQC’s *Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct*

Task: For discussion

10.2. Update of the KEQC’s Internal Rules of Management

Task: For discussion

11. Regulation respecting compensation for adverse effects on wetlands and bodies of water

11.1. The KEQC’s position

12. Varia

13. Next meeting

DOSSIERS UNDER ANALYSIS

1. **Project by the Ministère des Transports, de la Mobilité durable et de l'Électrification des transports to extend an existing quarry to resurface the Kuujjrapik airport runway**
 - 1.1. Request for an attestation of exemption
Task: For decision

2. **Innavik hydroelectric project by Pituvik Landholding Corporation**
 - 2.1. Responses to questions and comments - 3rd series
Task: For decision

3. **Raglan mining project by Glencore Canada Corporation**
 - 3.1. 2017 Environmental Monitoring Report
Task: For discussion

 - 3.2. Update of the mine restoration plan
Task: For discussion

 - 3.3. Follow-up of condition 6 of the modification of July 11, 2017, of the certificate of authorization issued on May 5, 1995
Task: For discussion

4. **Direct shipping of iron ores, Project "2A," in Nunavik, by Tata Steel Minerals Canada Limited**
 - 4.1. 2017 Annual Report
Task: For discussion

APPENDIX B

Correspondence and follow-up, September 18 to November 21, 2018

DRAFT	FROM/TO	DOCUMENT	DATE	COMMENTS	ACTION
Raglan mining project by Glencore Canada Corporation (3215-14-019)	From KEQC to the MDDELCC	Fish and Surface Water Monitoring Program - Answers to questions sent on May 10, 2012 Permission to amend the certification of authorization	Issued October 5, 2018	As discussed in Meeting 239, on September 26, 2018 Acknowledgement of receipt received on 2018-10-10	--
	From KEQC to the MDDELCC	Follow-up on conditions 5 and 6 of the modification of July 11, 2017, of the certificate of authorization issued on May 5, 1995	Issued October 5, 2018	As discussed in Meeting 239, on September 26, 2018 Acknowledgement of receipt received on 2018-10-10	<i>Response to condition 6 to be sent to the MDDELCC</i>
Nunavik Nickel Project by Canadian Royalties Inc. (3215-14-007)	From KEQC to the MDDELCC	2017 Environmental Monitoring Report Request for the contact information of persons in charge of the environment and communications at Canadian Royalties	Issued November 1, 2018	As discussed in Meeting 239, on September 26, 2018 Acknowledgement of receipt received on 2018-11-02	--
	From the MDDELCC to the proponent		Issued November 6, 2018	--	--
	From the MDDELCC to KEQC	Contact information for Canadian Royalties environment and communications officers	Received November 11, 2018	--	<i>Follow-up to be done</i>